

Serial No. 10/747,792

Page 10

REMARKS

Claims 1-36 are pending in this application. By this Amendment, claims 12 and 29 are amended. Reconsideration in view of the above amendments and the following remarks is respectfully requested.

Applicants gratefully acknowledge the Office Action's indication of allowable subject matter in claims 8 and 25. However, for the reasons set forth below, Applicants respectfully assert that all of the claims are directed to allowable subject matter and that the application is in condition for allowance.

The Office Action rejects claims 12 and 29 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicant regards as the invention. This rejection is respectfully traversed. Claims 12 and 29 are amended to delete the second incidence of the phrase "flash with information message." Accordingly, Applicants request withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.

The Office Action rejects, under 35 U.S.C. § 102, claims 1-7, 9-24, and 26-36 over Vainio et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,577,721). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Applicants assert Vainio does not disclose transmitting a flash with information message including a connection control information record that controls a connection status of a connected first party, as recited in independent claim 1, and similarly recited in independent claims 13, 18, 30, and 35.

"A claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference" (MPEP §2131, citing *Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil Co. of California*, 2 USPQ2d 1051, 1053 (Fed. Cir. 1987)).

Vainio has absolutely no disclosure of a flash with information message and the Office Action does not indicate a section of Vainio that includes this feature.

In fact, the only disclosure in Vainio of the transmission of any message at all is that of a "set-up message" at col. 4, lines 35-36, which discloses "The radio telephone then transmits to the network a set-up message which contains the called parties telephone number. The network routes the call to the desired telephone and assigns a traffic channel for the exchange of user

Serial No. 10/747,792

Page 11

data. If the called telephone is not busy the network alerts the calling radio telephone, typically by the user hearing a ringing tone. The connection is established when the called telephone is brought off the hook." However, this is not the disclosure of a flash with information message.

In fact, this is the opposite of a disclosure of flash with information message that includes a connection control information record that controls a connection status of a connected first party. In particular, Vainio only discloses "the connection is established" at col. 4, lines 41-42 after the set-up message is transmitted at col. 4, lines 35-37. Thus, the set-up message cannot be used in reference to a connected first party. More particularly, the set-up message is transmitted before a connection is established. Therefore, the first party is not connected when the set-up message is transmitted. Accordingly, the set-up message cannot contain a connection control information record that controls a connection status of a connected first party.

Therefore, not only does Vainio have no disclosure of a flash with information message, but the only disclosure in Vainio of the transmission of any message at all cannot be a flash with information message that includes a connection control information record that controls a connection status of a connected first party.

Thus, Vainio does not disclose transmitting a flash with information message including a connection control information record that controls a connection status of the connected first party, as recited in independent claim 1, and similarly recited in independent claims 13, 18, 30, and 35.

Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that independent claims 1, 13, 18, 30, and 35 define patentable subject matter. The remaining claims depend from the independent claims and therefore also define patentable subject matter. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102.

CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicants respectfully submit this application is in condition for allowance. Favorable consideration and prompt allowance of claims 1-36 are earnestly solicited.

Serial No. 10/747,792
Page 12

Should the Examiner believe that anything further would be desirable in order to place this application in better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact Applicants' undersigned representative at the telephone number listed below.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to deduct any fees arising as a result of this Amendment or any other communication from or to credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 50-2117.

Respectfully submitted,



Matthew C. Loppnow
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 45,314

Dated: August 5, 2005

Phone No. (847) 523-2585
Fax No. (847) 523-2350

Please send correspondence to:
Motorola, Inc.
Intellectual Property
600 North U.S. Highway 45
Libertyville, IL 60048